

# Chart Stimulated Review

## Experience Evaluation

Resident Name:

Evaluator

Date Reviewed:

Overall Quality of the Patient Note

Item	Rating	Rating		
		N/A	N	Y
1 Record keeping and legibility	N/A	N	Y	
2 Pertinent and relevant information documentation	N/A	N	Y	
3 Completely written	N/A	N	Y	
4 Organized H/P & A/P	N/A	N	Y	

Subjective/Objective Evaluation of Cases

Item	Rating	Rating	
		N	Y
1 Present a clear outline of each case	N	Y	
2 Make an appropriate assessment/plan	N	Y	
3 Create an appropriate differential diagnosis	N	Y	
4 Justify their diagnostic plan	N	Y	
5 Rationalize their therapeutic approach	N	Y	
6 Consider the cost-effectiveness of their diagnostic & therapeutic plan	N	Y	
7 Integrate the patient's psychosocial status into their diagnostic & therapeutic plan	N	Y	
8 Make an appropriate bed disposition	N	Y	
9 Institute appropriate hospital health maintenance	N	Y	

Unsatisfactory                      marginal                      satisfactory                      good                      superior

1    2    3    4    5

1=poor quality of overall note (<3/4 components) + poor eval& management (<3/9components)  
 2=good quality of overall note (>3/4 components) + poor eval& management (<5/9components)  
 3=good quality of overall note (>3/4 components) + good eval& management (>5/9 components)  
 4=good quality of overall note (>3/4 components) + good eval& management (>7/9 components)  
 5=good quality overall note (>3/4 components) + good eval& management (9/9 components)